

UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington,	DC	20231	
TTUSHINGTON,	U.U.	20201	

		ALES O.	wasning	ton, D.C. 20231	Ma
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INV	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/217,40:	12/21/9	8 ISHIDA		K	884.088US1
_			\neg		EXAMINER
P.O. BOX 2		MMC2/0406 WOESSNER & KLUTH		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2841 DATE MAILE	D:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

04/06/01

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/217,401	ISHIDA ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thanh Y. Tran	2841						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
	EPLY [check only a) or b)]	•						
 a)	n two months as set forth in MPEP § 706 R continues to run from the mailing date of period for reply expire later than SIX MON	of the final rejection, ITHS from the						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees.	the timely submission of a Notice	e of Appeal and Appeal Brief						
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a)								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):							
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment						
6.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consider Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the						
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly						
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writter	n explanation, if any):						
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		111111						
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.								
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ✓								
1. Other:								



Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation is the terminals "exert a return force when compressed" which is not previously considered by the Examiner.

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner cannot find any language in the original specification regarding solderless terminals. Also, please see Fig. 3B, element 18 for the limitation of an eleastically compressible terminal